

United States Patent and Trademark Office

fw

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/905,506	07/12/2001	Shell Sterling Simpson	, 10008181-1	3369
7590 10/06/2006		EXAMINER		
HEWLETT-PACKARD COMPANY			SINGH, SATWANT K	
Intellectual Prop	perty Administration			
P.O. Box 272400			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
Fort Collins, CO 80527-2400			2625	

DATE MAILED: 10/06/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	09/905,506	SIMPSON ET AL.	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Satwant K. Singh	2625	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appeared for Reply		correspondence address	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING D - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailin earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	PATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tir will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from e, cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status			
 Responsive to communication(s) filed on 19 J This action is FINAL. 2b) This Since this application is in condition for allowated closed in accordance with the practice under the condition of the condition	s action is non-final. Ince except for formal matters, pro		
Disposition of Claims			
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-25 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-25 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o Application Papers 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on 12 July 2001 is/are: a) Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	er. divided accepted or b) objected to be drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Se stion is required if the drawing(s) is objected to be detailed.	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). ejected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).	
,			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority document application from the International Bureat * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	ts have been received. ts have been received in Applicat prity documents have been receive tu (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	ion No ed in this National Stage	
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D 5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:	ate	

Application/Control Number: 09/905,506 Page 2

Art Unit: 2625

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Amendment

1. This office action is in response to the amendment filed on 19 July 2006.

Response to Arguments

2. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1, 5, 9, 15 16-9, and 22 have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

3. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.
- 4. Claims 1, and 3-25 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Vidyanand (US 6,967,728).
- 5. Regarding Claim 1, Vidyanand discloses a method comprising: receiving a user selection of one or more print options via a network, wherein the one or more print options are identified for subsequent resolution (printer driver preference settings 18) (col. 6, lines 52-58), and wherein the one or more print options can be applied to one or more other network services (stored set of printer driver setting is useful for other client computers) (col. 5, lines 33-35), the print options serving to configure a printer in a particular manner for printing (other preferences selected) (col. 6, lines 52--58), the

particular manner defined by the one or more print options submitted with a print request to the printer where the one ore more options are used in printing a documents (other preferences selected) (col. 6, lines 52--58).

- 6. Regarding Claim 3, Vidyanand discloses a method, wherein the one or more other network services comprise one or more other printing services communicatively coupled to the network service (stored set of printer driver setting is useful for other client computers) (col. 5, lines 33-35).
- 7. Regarding Claim 4, Vidyanand discloses a method, further comprising: receiving a user-selected name for the one or more print options (user may enter a desired name for a given set of preferences) (col. 6, lines 15-23); storing the one or more print options as associated with the user-selected name (modify a previously defined set) (col. 7, lines 3-16); and allowing subsequent selection of the one or more print options by the user based on the user-selected name (user may enter a desired name for a given set of preferences) (col. 6, lines 15-23).
- 8. Regarding Claim 5, Vidyanand discloses one or more computer readable media having stored thereon a plurality of instructions that, when executed by one or more processors, causes the one or more processors to perform acts including: communicating a plurality of possible print options to a client computer (printer driver control interface 50) (user may enter a desired name for a given set of preferences) (col. 6, lines 15-23); receiving a user indication of selected ones of the plurality of possible print options (Fig. 9) (col. 7, lines 44-60); receiving an identifier, indicated by the user, associated with the selected print options Fig. 6, set name 51) (col. 6, lines 15-

23); saving the selected print options with the associated identifier (modify a previously defined set) (col. 7, lines 3-16); and making the selected print options subsequently available to the user for configuring of a plurality of printers in a particular manner, the particular manner defined by the one or more print options submitted with a print request to a respective one of the plurality of printers where the one or more options are used in printing a document (other preferences selected) (col. 6, lines 52--58).

- 9. Regarding Claim 6, Vidyanand discloses one or more computer readable media, wherein each of the plurality of print options is not specific to a particular printer (different printers) (col. 6, lines 10-14).
- 10. Regarding Claim 7, Vidyanand discloses one or more computer readable media, wherein the making further comprises making the selected print options subsequently available for user-selection by the identifier associat4ed with the selected print options (Fig. 9) (col. 7, lines 44-60).
- 11. Regarding Claim 8, Vidyanand discloses one or more computer readable media, further comprising saving a plurality of sets of selected print options and associated identifiers, and making each f the plurality of sets of selected print options subsequently available for use to the user for configuring of a plurality of printers (different printers) (col. 6, lines 10-14).
- 12. Regarding Claim 9, Vidyanand discloses a graphical user interface comprising: a plurality of portions illustrating user-selectable print options and graphical mechanism via which a user can select the print options (Fig. 9); an additional user-input mechanism via which the user can input an identifier of the selected print options (Fig.

9); and another graphical mechanism via which the user can indicate a desire to save the selected print options as associated with the identifier and for subsequent provision to a plurality of printers (Fig. 8, Save As), the print options serving to configure a printer in a particular manner for printing (Fig. 9) (col. 7, lines 44-60), the particular manner defined by the one or more print options submitted with a print request to a respective one of the plurality of printers where the one or more options are used in printing a document (plurality of printers) (col. 8, lines 30-44).

- 13. Regarding Claim 10, Vidyanand discloses a graphical user interface, wherein one or more of the graphical mechanisms in the plurality of portions comprises a checkbox (Fig. 7).
- 14. Regarding Claim 11, Vidyanand discloses a graphical user interface, wherein one or more of the graphical mechanisms in the plurality of portions comprises a data input box vial which the user can input alphanumeric characters (Fig. 6).
- 15. Regarding Claim 12, Vidyanand discloses a graphical user interface, wherein the identifier of the selected print options comprises a user-selected name (Fig. 6, set name).
- 16. Regarding Claim 13, Vidyanand discloses a graphical user interface, wherein the other graphical mechanism comprises a user-selectable on-screen button (Fog. 8).
- 17. Regarding Claim 14, Vidyanand discloses a graphical user interface, wherein the graphical mechanisms in the plurality of portions include on or more of: a check box, a radio button, a list box, an editable text box, a command button, a drop down list, a popup menu, a spinner, and a slider (Figs. 6-9).

Application/Control Number: 09/905,506

Art Unit: 2625

18. Regarding Claim 15, Vidyanand discloses one or more computer readable media having stored thereon a plurality of instructions that, when executed by one or more processors, causes the one or more processors to perform acts including: receiving an indication of one of a plurality of sets of print options to be used in printing a document irrespective of a printer on which the document is to be printed, the print options serving to configure the printer in a particular manner for printing the particular manner defined by the one or more print options submitted with a print request to a printer where the one or more options are used in printing the document; receiving an indication of one of a plurality of printers on which the document is to be printed; and communicating the indicated set of print options to the indicated printer irrespective of whether the printer supports one or more of the print options identified in the set of print options (Fig. 12, translation of set preferences) (co. 8, lines 59-67) (col. 9, lines 1-14).

- 19. Regarding Claim 16, Vidyanand discloses one or more computer readable media, wherein the plurality of instructions further cause the one or more processors to perform acts including: determining, based on the indication of the one printer on which the document is to be printed, which of the print options in the indicated set of print options is supported by the one printer; and communicating, for display to the user, an indication of which of the print options in the indicated set of print options is supported by the one printer (conflict resolution dialog) (col. 10, lines 53-67 and col. 11, lines 1-4).
- 20. Regarding Claim 16, Vidyanand discloses one or more computer readable media, wherein the plurality of instructions further cause the one or more processors to perform acts including: determining, based on the indication of the one printer on which

Application/Control Number: 09/905,506

Art Unit: 2625

the document is to be printed, which of the print options in the indicated set of print options is supported by the one printer; and communicating, for display to the user, an indication of which of the print options in the indicated set of print options is not supported by the one printer (conflict resolution dialog) (col. 10, lines 53-67 and col. 11, lines 1-4).

- 21. Regarding Claim 18, Vidyanand discloses one or more computer readable media, wherein the plurality of instructions further cause the one or more processors to perform acts including: determining, for each of the plurality of printers, which of the print options in the indicated set of print options is supported by the printer; identifying one or more of the plurality of printers that support the most print options in the indicated set of print options; and communicating, for display to the user, the identified one or more printers (conflict resolution dialog) (col. 10, lines 53-67 and col. 11, lines 1-4).
- 22. Regarding Claim 19, Vidyanand discloses a system comprising: a network interface configured to allow the system to communicate with one or more other systems via a network; and a printer configuration user interface, communicatively coupled to the network interface, wherein the printer configuration user interface is configured to allow a user of a client interface to select print options and group the selection together as a configuration associated with a particular name, and wherein the printer configuration user interface is further configured to allow the user to select print options without regard for print options supported by a printer that the user can subsequently print to, the print options serving to configure the printer in a particular

manner for printing, the particular manner defined by the one or more options submitted with a print request to the printer where the one or more options are used in printing the document (Fig. 12, translation of set preferences) (co. 8, lines 59-67) (col. 9, lines 1-14).

- 23. Regarding Claim 20, Vidyanand discloses a system further comprising: a print user interface, communicatively coupled to the network interface, wherein the printer user interface is configured to allow the user to select one of the configurations by its associated name, and further configured to allow the user to select a printer that is to be used to print a document using the configuration (Fig. 9).
- 24. Regarding Claim 21, Vidyanand discloses a system, wherein the print user interface is further configured to allow the user to select one of the configurations without regard for print options supported by the printer that is to be used to print the document (Fig. 9).
- 25. Regarding Claim 22, Vidyanand discloses a method, implemented in a print service coupled to a network, the method comprising: receiving, from a device in the network, a print request identifying both a document to be printed and a set of desired print options, wherein the set of desired print options includes a corresponding setting for one or more of the desired print options; checking whether a printer corresponding to the print service supports the desired print options; and for each option in the set of desired print options, applying the setting corresponding to the option if the printer supports the print option, and ignoring the setting corresponding to the option if the printer does not support the print option (Fig. 12, translation of set preferences) (co. 8, lines 59-67) (col. 9, lines 1-14).

26. Regarding Claim 23, Vidyanand discloses a method, wherein the print service comprises a print server corresponding to the printer (Figs. 2-4, print server 42).

- 27. Regarding Claim 24, Vidyanand discloses a method, wherein the print service is implemented at the printer (Figs. 2-4, printer 26).
- 28. Regarding Claim 25, Vidyanand discloses a method, wherein the applying comprises applying the setting corresponding to the print option if the printer supports the print option with regard for whether the printer is currently capable of carrying out the print option (Fig. 12, translation of set preferences) (co. 8, lines 59-67) (col. 9, lines 1-14).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 29. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 30. Claim 2 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Vidyanand in view of Yanagidaira (US 6,490,052).
- 31. Regarding Claim 2, Vidyanand fail to teach a method, wherein the network service comprises an Internet home page.

Yanagidaira teaches teach a method, wherein the network service comprises an Internet home page.

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to have combined the teachings of Vidyanand with the teaching of

Yanagidaira to put the shared printer information on the home page so the user can use a browser on his computer to set the print options for the printing device.

Conclusion

32. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Potts, Jr. (US 6,314,432) discloses a system for storing, saving, and printing information on the Internet.

Van Der Linden et al. (US 7,072,059) discloses a method and system for submitting jobs to a reproduction center.

Ferlitsch et al. (US 7,046,384) discloses methods and systems for printprocessor-based print task error recovery.

Leiman et al. (US 7,072,067) discloses a method for open systems printing.

Contact Information

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Satwant K. Singh whose telephone number is (571) 272-7468. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday thru Friday 8am - 4:30pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Kimberly A. Williams can be reached on (571) 272-7471. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 09/905,506

Art Unit: 2625

Page 11

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Saward Surf

Satwant K. Singh Examiner Art Unit 2625

KIMBERLY WILLIAMS SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER